Application No. Applicant(s) 09/851.387 NADA, MITSUHIRO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2859 Gail Verbitsky All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)____. (1) Gail Verbitsky. (2) Mr. Pous Date of Interview: 30 October 2003. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,7-10 and 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Ito. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will amend the claims to overcome 112 rejection, will amend the preamble of the claims. Applicant has overcome 103 rejection (Ito). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

"Welleton